

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/829,425	EVRON ET AL.
	Examiner Shefali D Patel	Art Unit 2621

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Shefali D Patel.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jay M. Finkelstein (Reg. No. 21,082).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 August 2004

**Time:** 10:15am

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-2, 10, 13-14, 22-23

Prior art documents discussed:

none

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested to have claim 2 cancelled and have that feature amended into claims 1, 10, 22, and 23; have claim 14 cancelled and have that feature amended into claim 13. Mr. Finkelstein agreed to this suggestion and an examiner's amendment is made. See the examiner's amendment and notice of allowance (paper # 8) for more detail.